# ORIGINAL



BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORATION CO 1 RECEIVED 28 2 JEFF HATCH-MILLER Chairman 3 WILLIAM MUNDELL 2006 MAY 11 P 4: 38° Commissioner 4 MARC SPITZER AZ CORP COMMISSION Commissioner DOCUMENT CONTROL 5 MIKE GLEASON Commissioner 6 KRISTIN MAYES Commissioner 7 IN THE MATTER OF THE FORMAL DOCKET NO. T-03693A-05-0875 8 COMPLAINT AND REQUEST FOR T-01051B-05-0875 DECLARATORY JUDGMENT OF **QWEST CORPORATION'S** PAC-WEST TELECOMM, INC. ANSWER TO PAC-WEST 10 AGAINST QWEST CORPORATION TELECOMM'S FIRST AMENDED **COMPLAINT FOR** 11 **DECLARATORY JUDGMENT: QWEST'S FIRST AMENDED** 12 **COUNTERCLAIM** 13 14 Respondent Owest Corporation ("Owest") hereby responds to and answers the First 15 Amended Complaint for Declaratory Judgment ("Complaint") filed by Pac-West 16 Telecomm, Inc. ("Pac-West") on April 11, 2006. Owest further files its First Amended 17 Counterclaims against Pac-West. For the reasons set forth herein, Owest asserts that the 18 Arizona Corporation Commission ("Commission") should dismiss the Complaint in all 19 respects. 20 RESPONSE TO ALLEGATIONS IN THE COMPLAINT 21 Qwest hereby responds to Pac-West's allegations. Unless specifically admitted in 22 this section, Owest denies each and every allegation in Pac-West's Complaint. 23 1. Owest is a public service corporation qualified to do and is doing business 24 in Arizona. Quest is an incumbent local exchange company providing local exchange 25 and other telecommunication services throughout Arizona. 26 2. Owest acknowledges that Pac-West and Owest are parties to an 27

Interconnection Agreement ("ICA") between Owest and Pac-West Telecomm, Inc., dated

8

12 13

15 16

14

17

18 19

20

21 22

23

24 25

26

27 28

FENNEMORE CRAIG

September 30, 1999, which was approved in Commission Decision No. 62137 on December 14, 1999.

- 3. Owest admits that the ICA and subsequent amendments govern the parties' respective interconnection and payment obligations. Further, Qwest admits that a dispute has arisen between Qwest and Pac-West regarding their respective payment obligations under the ICA. Owest denies that this dispute involves the location of interconnection or requirements to maintain the physical network on either parties' side of the Point of Interconnection ("POI").
- 4. Owest admits that Pac-West and Owest entered into the InterLCA Amendment on September 11, 2000, for the purpose of allowing Pac-West to obtain interconnection facilities as described in that amendment from Qwest.
- 5. Qwest asserts that Section 1.3 of Attachment 1 to the InterLCA Amendment speaks for itself.
- 6. To the extent that Pac-West claims to apply the terms of the 20 mile discount to any facility other than an InterLCA Facility, Qwest denies the allegation that Section 1.5 of Attachment 1 to the InterLCA Amendment is applicable to miles of any facility other than an InterLCA Facility based on Relevant Use Factor ("RUF"), including Direct Trunk Transport ("DTT") facilities ordered pursuant to the original ICA. Qwest further denies that Section 1.5 of Attachment 1 to the InterLCA Amendment is applicable to miles of any facility where there is not a mutual, reciprocal exchange of local calls.
- 7. Qwest admits that Pac-West and Qwest entered into the Single Point of Presence ("SPOP") Amendment on January 12, 2001, for the purpose of establishing one point of presence in a LATA, and to order facilities to cross local calling areas.
- 8. Owest admits sending new invoices to Pac-West, for re-calculated transport and switching services, after the United States District Court for the District of Arizona vacated a portion of Commission Decision No. 66385 on December 17, 2004.
- 9. Owest denies the allegation that Owest erroneously charged Pac-West for DTT facilities ordered pursuant to the original ICA. Qwest denies the allegation that

Section 3.1 of Attachment 4 to the ICA requires Qwest to provide Pac-West DTT facilities at virtually no cost to Pac-West.

10. Qwest denies the allegation that Qwest erroneously charged Pac-West the full cost of certain DTT facilities, without deducting the cost of Qwest's relative use, based on requirements set forth in the InterLCA Amendment. Pac-West states no basis for nonpayment of amounts billed by Qwest subsequent to the District Court's judgment referenced above.

# AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES

- 11. The plain language of the ICA and all supporting attachments, schedules, appendices provide the process for Pac-West to order DTT, and the rates for DTT to be charged to Pac-West for DTT.
- 12. Pac-West asserts that Qwest has over-billed Pac-West for DTT facilities ordered pursuant to the InterLCA Amendment. Pac-West argues that "Qwest is in breach of the Interconnection Agreement, as amended, in refusing to reduce the charge for these interconnection facilities based on Qwest's use of the facility." Pac-West ignores that by a letter dated August 16, 2005, from Qwest's outside counsel to Pac-West's counsel, Qwest acknowledged that it did not provide Pac-West with the RUF credit for the first twenty (20) miles of the inter-LCA facilities for the time period of the dispute. Qwest calculated that amount of credit to be \$10,632.30, which has already been subtracted from the amount Qwest has stated it is currently owed.
- 13. The course of conduct and dealings between the parties shows that Qwest has properly billed Pac-West.
- 14. The course of conduct and dealings between Qwest and all similarly situated carriers shows that Qwest is entitled to bill for DTT.
- 15. Custom and practice in the industry confirm that Qwest is entitled to bill for DTT, as it has with Pac-West.
- 16. By its acceptance of credits for DTT charges, Pac-West is estopped from denying that the credited bills, which have subsequently been re-billed, were incorrect.

FENNEMORE CRAIG
'ROFESSIONAL CORPORATION
PHOENIX

#### **COUNTERCLAIMS**

17. Qwest brings these Counterclaims against Pac-West as a result of Pac-West's breach of the terms and conditions of the ICA, as amended. This Counterclaim consists of three counts as follows:

## **COUNT I**

## (Breach of Interconnection Agreement - Payment)

- 18. Qwest incorporates paragraphs 1 through 17, above.
- 19. Despite continued interconnection with Qwest facilities and services rendered pursuant to the ICA, Pac-West has wrongfully withheld payment of \$541,539.98. Qwest has properly billed these amounts, pursuant to the ICA and related amendments. Pac-West has not made any payments to Qwest under the ICA since May, 2005. That amount is immediately due and payable to Qwest. Because the interconnection is still in place, the amount owed increases each month. Pac-West should pay all past due amounts, currently due amounts, and make future payments timely. Without waiving its right to receive compensation, Qwest should also be entitled to disconnect Pac-West if it fails to pay amounts ordered to be paid.

## **COUNT II**

# (In the Alternative--Breach of Interconnection Agreement - Service)

- 20. Qwest incorporates paragraphs 1 through 19, above.
- 21. Upon information and belief, all traffic originated by Qwest's end users that is bound for Pac-West is ISP bound traffic. Despite this, Pac-West has obtained all of its interconnection facilities and continues to take service from Qwest pursuant to the SPOP Amendment.
- 22. Paragraph 9 of the SPOP Amendment states "SPOP in the LATA is not available for the sole purpose of delivering ISP bound, interstate in nature, traffic." Therefore, Pac-West's conversion of all of its InterLCA Facilities to SPOP/DTT and other LIS facilities pursuant to the SPOP Amendment was inappropriate and contrary to the SPOP Amendment. Accordingly, Pac-West should only be able to obtain interconnection

facilities that cross local calling area ("LCA") boundaries pursuant to the InterLCA Amendment.

23. Because the provisions of the InterLCA Amendment are applicable to Pac-West's traffic and the facilities used to exchange that traffic, Qwest is currently owed \$1,212,094.70 for service rendered since August 2003 to January 2006. This calculation has RUF-related volumes subtracted from it. Calculation of RUF-related volumes was determined by using 20 miles of all facilities in Arizona, from 2000 through January 2006. However, no RUF should be subtracted in cases where Pac-West has employed its unlawful VNXX scheme.

#### **COUNT III**

## (In the Alternative--Breach of Interconnection Agreement - Service)

- 24. Qwest incorporates paragraphs 1 through 23, above.
- 25. Pac-West employs its disguised intraLATA interexchange routing scheme known as VNXX, which violates state law and the ICA. Pac-West may not use LIS facilities of any kind for its VNXX service. Pac-West should be required to compensate Qwest at the rates applicable to tariffed private line services and special access where Pac-West has employed VNXX.

#### RELIEF REQUESTED

**WHEREFORE,** Qwest respectfully requests the Commission provide the following relief:

- A. Deny all the relief requested by Pac-West in its Complaint;
- B. Invalidate all Pac-West claims for credits seeking or bills charging for DTT facilities ordered by Pac-West pursuant to the original ICA or InterLCA Amendment, and issue a decision ordering Pac-West to pay Qwest for all amounts owed pursuant to the ICA, which amount is \$541,539.98, as of April 2006, and to pay current charges timely;
- C. Alternatively, issue a decision ordering Pac-West to pay Qwest for all amounts owed pursuant to the ICA if all service is to be rated under the InterLCA Amendment;

1	D. Declare Pac-West's service ineligible for rating under the SPOP
2	Amendment;
3	E. Prohibit Pac-West from routing VNXX traffic to Qwest utilizing LIS
4	facilities;
5	F. Order Pac-West to pay Qwest for Pac-West's previous and future unlawful
6	use of LIS facilities in an amount equal to private line service plus special access, where
7	Pac-West has utilized its VNXX scheme; and
8	G. Any and all other equitable relief that the Commission deems appropriate.
9	RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this 11th day of May, 2006.
10	Norman Curtright OWEST CORPORATION
11	4041 N. Central Avenue Phoenix, AZ 85012
12	(602) 630-2187
13	-and-
14	FENNEMORE CRAIG, P.C.
15	
16	By Timothy Berg
17	Theresa Dwyer Patrick J. Black
18	3003 N. Central Ave, Suite 2600 Phoenix, Arizona 85012
19	(602) 916-5421
20	Attorneys for Qwest Corporation
21	ORIGINAL and 13 copies hand-delivered for filing this 11 <sup>th</sup> day of May, 2006, to:
22	Docket Control
<ul><li>23</li><li>24</li></ul>	ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION 1200 West Washington
25	Phoenix, Arizona 85007
26	
27	
28	

1	COPY of the foregoing hand-delivered this 11 <sup>th</sup> day of May, 2006 to:
2	
3	Amy Bjelland Hearing Division
4	ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION 1200 West Washington Phoenix, Arizona 85007
5	
6	Christopher K. Kempley, Chief Counsel Legal Division
7	Arizona Corporation Commission 1200 West Washington Street
8	Phoenix, AZ 85007
9	Ernest Johnson, Director Utilities Division
10	Arizona Corporation Commission 1200 West Washington Street
11	Phoenix, AZ 85007
12	COPY of the foregoing mailed/e-mailed this 11 <sup>th</sup> day of May, 2006 to:
13	Joan S. Burke OSBORN MALEDON
14	2929 North Central, Ste. 2100 Phoenix, AZ 85012
15	Attorneys for Pac-West Telecomm, Inc.
16	
17	Maria San Jose
18	27330007
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	

FENNEMORE CRAIG
PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION
PHOENIX